

Book Review

Research-Based Learning: Case Studies from Maastricht University

Edited by Ellen Bastiaens, Jonathan van Tilburg, and Jeroen van Merriënboer



Springer Professional Learning and Development in Schools and Higher Education
Volume 15

Springer International Publishing AG, Cham, Switzerland, 2017. vii + 219 pages.

Hardcover ISBN: 978-3-319-50991-4

eBook ISBN: 978-3-319-50993-8

Reviewed by Susan Berry Brill de Ramírez,
Bradley University, brill@bradley.edu

doi: 10.18833/spur/1/1/6

Research-Based Learning: Case Studies from Maastricht University, edited by Ellen Bastiaens, Jonathan van Tilburg, and Jeroen van Merriënboer, introduces the university's honors program in research-based learning (RBL), the theoretical models and key concepts of RBL at the undergraduate level upon which the program is based, and case studies from a diverse range of departments and programs. The competitive and selective RBL program for third-year students at Maastricht University in the Netherlands was developed with national higher education funding "to create new educational formats to challenge excellent students" (174). Maastricht already had a strong track record of pedagogical innovation with problem-based learning (PBL) as a core educational model across the university, which was discussed in *CUR Quarterly* (E. Bastiaens and J. Nijhuis, "From Problem-Based Learning to Undergraduate Research: The Experience of Maastricht University in the Netherlands," 32.4 [2012]: 38–43). Through the book, the editors seek to encourage and assist other institutions and departments in their RBL initiatives.

The volume begins with Roeland van de Rijst's comprehensive "The Transformative Nature of Research-Based Education: A Thematic Overview of the Literature," which highlights the pedagogically transformative experiences of RBL when learning objectives are aligned with teaching strategies to develop "effective instructional design of RBL opportunities" (4–5). However, the evidence shows that "research-teaching links do not come about naturally, . . . [requiring] focused, purposeful, and persistent institutional strategies" to implement successful undergraduate RBL programs (13). The editors' second and third chapters turn to the development of the institutional commitment to and practice of RBL at Maastricht University. Chapter 2 lays out the historical process, beginning with the European Union's 1999 commitment to improved higher education

through establishing a cross-border higher education area by 2010. The university then developed the MaRBL (Maastricht Research-Based Learning for Excellence) programs that feature inquiry-based/problem-based learning. Chapter 3 introduces the "Three Educational Models for Positioning the Maastricht Research-Based Learning Programme." The next nine chapters provide case studies from the MaRBL programs at Maastricht.

In chapter 4, "Faculty of Psychology and Neuroscience: The Psychology Student as Researcher," Herco Fonteijn and Arie van der Lugt discuss how talented and motivated students are selected to build on their content-based learning and research methodology group experience and "plan, conduct, and present their own individual research project under the supervision of a faculty member" (49), which has led to a number of scholarly publications. Challenges included various levels of student commitment and limited resources such as insufficient lab space for undergraduate researchers. Chapter 5, "Faculty of Arts and Sciences: The Adventure of Doing Research," by Pieter A. J. Caljé turns to the programs in European studies and arts and culture that are largely content and textbook based. For humanities students, RBL was a foreign concept and required active peer review as part of a cohesive academic community of student researchers; faculty supervisors acted as collaborators who shared their own research methods and suggested possible topics for the students to pursue.

Chapters 6–12 present additional case studies, including RBL as part of small tutorials in which "students and their supervisors were co-learners in the process of academic inquiry" (82), collaborations where students conducted research with partners in the private and public sectors to work on real-world problems (such as sustainable sanitation), RBL initiatives where the student is viewed as an apprentice to a faculty researcher, science research beyond monodisciplinary boundaries, faculty projects involving undergraduates in higher level research, conference presentations of bachelor theses at university or external academic venues, and competitively selected student research for presentation at a university symposium. The institutional commitment broadened support and implementation of RBL opportunities across campus, including the fields highlighted in the volume such as psychology and neuroscience, arts and sciences, business and economics, and law.

The final chapters offer evaluations of the Maastricht program. In chapter 13, "The Effectiveness of the MaRBL Programme: Evaluation Findings," Bastiaens, Jimmie Leppink, and van Merriënboer explain that they were

interested in students' "social interaction with academic staff and the extent to which students felt motivated and enjoyed conducting research on a topic of their interest" (174), but the inconsistency of program assessment data collection limited the conclusions that could be drawn. The researchers affirmed that they "should have thought of evaluation methods that are sustainable in the long run at an earlier stage" (182). In chapter 14, "Reflection and Lessons Learned," the editors present their conclusions regarding the five-year program, noting the importance of dialogue at all stages, peer review for time management and task completion, research guidance and mentors, and external stakeholders for student motivation and research innovation.

At Maastricht, it was understood that successful research requires a substantive commitment. This meant that its MaRBL program was targeted to the top 25 percent of students, along with selected others who fell below this level but who were highly motivated. Although there were concerns regarding elitism and desires for wider access to RBL, it was recognized that a viable program would depend on motivated students who were committed to the success of their research projects. This volume provides an invaluable introduction for educators and administrators interested in the implementation of institutional, departmental, and faculty-led RBL initiatives. The book also includes an appendix that lists many of the students' publications and other special achievements.

Coming Up in 2018...



January 12, 2018:

Application Deadline, Student Travel Awards, CUR Biology Division

January 19–21, 2018:

Initiating and Sustaining Undergraduate Research Programs Institute

February 15–17, 2018: CUR Dialogues 2018

**March 16, 2018: Application Deadline, Student Travel Awards,
CUR Physics and Astronomy Division**

March 23–25, 2018: Broadening Participation Institute

**Interested in reviewing
books for SPUR?**

**Have a title to suggest
for review?**

Contact SPUR's book review editor:

Susan Berry Brill de Ramirez, Bradley University
brill@fsmail.bradley.edu



Did you know?

**The Council on Undergraduate Research has a
Job and Opportunity Board available to members.**



Visit: community.cur.org

SPUR

SCHOLARSHIP AND PRACTICE OF UNDERGRADUATE RESEARCH

The Journal of the Council on Undergraduate Research

SUBMISSION GUIDELINES

Scholarship and Practice of Undergraduate Research (SPUR) publishes scholarly work that examines effective practices and novel approaches, explores pedagogical models, and highlights the results of assessment of undergraduate research. As a peer-reviewed publication of the Council on Undergraduate Research, the journal provides useful and inspiring information that increases our understanding of undergraduate student-faculty engagement in research, scholarship, and creative work in all disciplines and at all types of higher education institutions in the United States and abroad.

General Criteria

Scholarship and Practice of Undergraduate Research (SPUR) publishes articles relating to all aspects of undergraduate research that are of interest to a broad readership. Articles regarding the effects of the research experience on the development and subsequent endeavors of students, as well as how to initiate, support, or sustain undergraduate research programs, are appropriate for this journal. SPUR is not the appropriate venue for publishing results of undergraduate research.

Manuscripts that are unrelated to undergraduate research or focus on the success of an individual or institutional undergraduate research program without providing a substantive presentation of goals, strategies, and assessed outcomes related to the program are not suitable for publication. Manuscripts that describe novel programs that can serve as models for other institutions, those containing significant assessment of outcomes, and those articulating research on the efficacy of undergraduate research programs are particularly suitable for publication in SPUR.

Editorial Policies

SPUR is the voice of members of the Council on Undergraduate Research. All articles are peer-reviewed. Editorial judgment regarding publication of manuscripts and letters rests with the Editors. Concerns about editorial policies and decisions should be addressed to the editors.

Manuscripts

How to Submit

Authors are encouraged to discuss disciplinary articles with the appropriate division editor prior to submission. Contact information for all editors is listed in every issue of SPUR. Submissions should be made at the SPUR online submission site, <http://spur.msubmit.net>.

Materials to Submit

- Copy of article (MS Word or compatible format, 12-point Times font, double-spaced, 1-inch margins, and single-spacing between sentences). The typical length of an article is 2000–3500 words, but longer or shorter articles may be appropriate for certain topics. Citations must be in author-date format, *Chicago Manual of Style* (refer to http://www.chicagomanualofstyle.org/tools_citationguide.html).
- Abstract
- Keywords for indexing (up to 10)
- Personal information (include institutional title, mailing address, and email address for the corresponding author and a short biographical sketch for each author)

Book Reviews

SPUR publishes short reviews of books and other new publications the editors deem of interest to the undergraduate research community. Books or other publications will be reviewed within 12 months of publication. The book review editor will select appropriate titles for review and solicit reviewers. To ensure that the reviews are as timely as possible, the book review editor will expect to receive finished reviews within two months of assignment. Each printed issue of SPUR will include one review.

Suggested titles for review and book reviews should be submitted via email to:

Susan Berry Brill de Ramirez
Book Review Editor
Bradley University
brill@fsmail.bradley.edu

CUR Comments

SPUR will consider for publication scholarly commentaries from readers on issues vital to the health and vigor of the undergraduate research enterprise. *CUR Comments* should be limited to 250 words and must be on topics relevant to CUR's mission. *CUR Comments* will be published at the sole discretion of the editors and will be edited if necessary. The writer will be shown the edited version for her/his approval.

Undergraduate Research Highlights

Undergraduate Research Highlights consist of brief descriptions of peer-reviewed research or scholarly publications in scholarly journals that have been published within the past six months. These publications must be in print and must include one or more undergraduate coauthors. Calls for submissions are disseminated quarterly and posted on the CUR website. Highlights appear in SPUR and in an online CUR database (http://www.cur.org/publications/undergraduate_research_highlights/).

Submissions should include:

- Title of the article and full journal citation (inclusive pages).
- A brief description (3-5 lines) of the research and its significance.
- Title and department or program affiliation of the faculty member.
- A brief description of the student coauthor(s). Include the year of study in which the student(s) undertook the work, the opportunity through which the work was undertaken (independent study project, summer project, REU program, senior thesis project, etc.), and the current status of the student (graduate school, employed, still enrolled, etc.).
- The source of funding for the work.

Questions?

Contact James T. LoPlant
SPUR Editor-in-Chief
Assistant Vice President for Research
Valdosta State University
jplant@valdosta.edu